

1 Teresa M. Corbin (SBN 132360)  
 Denise M. De Mory (SBN 168076)  
 2 Jaclyn C. Fink (SBN 217913)  
 HOWREY LLP  
 3 525 Market Street, Suite 3600  
 San Francisco, California 94105  
 4 Telephone: (415) 848-4900  
 Facsimile: (415) 848-4999

5 Attorneys for Plaintiff SYNOPSISYS and  
 6 Defendants AEROFLEX INCORPORATED,  
 AEROFLEX COLORADO SPRINGS, INC.,  
 7 AMI SEMICONDUCTOR, INC., MATROX  
 ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS, LTD., MATROX  
 8 GRAPHICS INC., MATROX  
 INTERNATIONAL CORP., and MATROX  
 9 TECH, INC.

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
 12 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

13 RICOH COMPANY, LTD.,

14 Plaintiff,

15 vs.

16 AEROFLEX INCORPORATED, AMI  
 SEMICONDUCTOR, INC., MATROX  
 17 ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS LTD., MATROX  
 GRAPHICS INC., MATROX  
 18 INTERNATIONAL CORP., MATROX TECH,  
 INC., AND AEROFLEX COLORADO  
 19 SPRINGS, INC.,

20 Defendants.

21 SYNOPSISYS, INC.,

22 Plaintiff,

23 vs.

24 RICOH COMPANY, LTD.,

25 Defendant.

Case No. C03-4669 MJJ (EMC)

Case No. C03-2289 MJJ (EMC)

**DECLARATION OF DENISE M. DE MORY  
 IN SUPPORT OF EXPEDITED MOTION  
 TO COMPEL ACCESS TO INFORMATION  
 ALLEGEDLY COVERED BY THE  
 PROTECTIVE ORDER, OR IN THE  
 ALTERNATIVE, TO DE-DESIGNATE  
 ALLEGEDLY CONFIDENTIAL  
 INFORMATION**

1 I, Denise M. De Mory, declare as follows:

2 1. I am a partner at the law firm of Howrey LLP, counsel for Aeroflex Incorporated,  
3 Aeroflex Colorado Springs, AMI Semiconductor, Inc., Matrox Electronic Systems, Ltd., Matrox  
4 Graphics Inc., Matrox International Corp., and Matrox Tech, Inc. (collectively, the "Customer  
5 Defendants") and Synopsys, Inc. ("Synopsys") in this action. The following declaration is based on  
6 my personal knowledge. If called upon to testify, I could and would competently testify to the matters  
7 set forth below.

8 2. Attached hereto as Exhibit 1 is a true and correct copy of a letter dated June 1, 2006,  
9 from Kenneth Brothers to Denise De Mory.

10 3. Attached hereto as Exhibit 2 is a true and correct copy of an June 2, 2006 e-mail  
11 communication between Denise De Mory and Kenneth Brothers.

12 4. Attached hereto as Exhibit 3 is a true and correct copy of a document Bates numbered  
13 KBSC000001-KBSC000028.

14 5. Attached hereto as Exhibit 4 is a true and correct copy of a document Bates numbered  
15 RCL002694-RCL002928.

16 6. Attached hereto as Exhibit 5 is a true and correct copy of a document Bates numbered  
17 RCL001513-RCL001633.

18 7. Attached hereto as Exhibit 6 is true and correct copy of a document Bates numbered  
19 KBSC000225-KBSC000229.

20 Executed this 5<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2006, at San Francisco, California.

21 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the  
22 foregoing is true and correct.

23  
24 /s/Denise M. De Mory  
25 Denise M. De Mory  
26  
27  
28

# EXHIBIT 1

D I C K S T E I N S H A P I R O M O R I N & O S H I N S K Y L L P

2101 L Street NW • Washington, DC 20037-1526

Tel (202) 785-9700 • Fax (202) 887-0689

Writer's Direct Dial: (202) 429-2184

E-Mail Address: BrothersK@dsmo.com

June 1, 2006

**Via PDF**

Denise DeMory  
Howrey LLP  
525 Market Street, Suite 3600  
San Francisco, CA 94105-2708

Re: Ricoh v. Aeroflex, et al.  
Synopsys v. Ricoh

Dear Denise:

We recently received a notice from your firm that you had retained Charles Van Horn as a potential expert witness. Mr. Van Horn is a partner with the Finnegan Henderson law firm in Washington D.C. As you have long been aware, the Finnegan Henderson firm represents Elan Microelectronics Corp., which has received warning letters from Ricoh regarding the '432 patent. See SP68389 (enclosed). Under these circumstances, we object to your retention and designation of Mr Van Horn.

Sincerely,

  
Kenneth W. Brothers

cc: Howrey distribution list



1300 I Street, NW ■ Washington, DC 20005-3315 ■ 202.408.4000 ■ Fax 202.408.4400  
www.finnegan.com

YITAI HU  
(202) 408-4203  
Yitai.Hu@finnegan.com

May 27, 2003

Gary M. Hoffman, Esq.  
Dickstein Shapiro Morin & Oshinsky LLP  
2101 L Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20037-1526

Elan Microelectronics Corp.  
Our Reference: 08488.0002-00000

Dear Mr. Hoffman:

We represent Elan Microelectronics Corp., and write to respond to your letter of April 25, 2003.

In your letter, you mentioned Elan's purported use of Synopsys' Design Compiler and then offered a license of U.S. Patent No. 4,922,432 assigned to Ricoh Company, Ltd. However, your letter is unclear as to the relationship between the Design Compiler and '432 patent. If you are alleging that Elan infringes the '432 patent through its use of the Design Compiler, we request that you provide us with a claim chart or similar analysis so that we may assess Ricoh's position and respond accordingly.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read 'Yitai Hu', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Yitai Hu

YH;rel  
cc: Elan Microelectronics Corp.

**SP68389**

# EXHIBIT

## 2

**DeMory, Denise**

---

**From:** Brothers, Kenneth [BrothersK@dsmo.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, June 02, 2006 8:43 AM  
**To:** DeMory, Denise  
**Cc:** Su, Henry; Fink, Jacky  
**Subject:** RE: Van Horn

Denise:

Ricoh declines to withdraw its objection. We believe that Mr. Van Horn has a conflict of interest within his firm that should preclude him from accepting the proposed engagement as an expert witness. In addition, Ricoh does not want its confidential information to be disclosed to a law firm that is representing other parties that Ricoh has contacted regarding licensing the same patent.

Regards, Ken

Ken Brothers  
Dickstein Shapiro Morin & Oshinsky LLP

---

**From:** DeMory, Denise [mailto:demoryd@Howrey.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, June 02, 2006 11:37 AM  
**To:** Brothers, Kenneth  
**Cc:** Su, Henry  
**Subject:** Van Horn  
**Importance:** High

Ken:

With regard to your objection to Van Horn, based on our research it appears to be totally unfounded and improper. Indeed, the terms of the Protective Order itself, to which Van Horn has already agreed to be bound, more than adequately address your concerns. Notwithstanding this, Van Horn has agreed to set up an ethical wall whereby he would not have any involvement in Finnegan's defense of Elan Microelectronics against any allegations of infringement by Ricoh on the '432 patent. Will you withdraw your objections in view of the ethical wall? Obviously, I need a prompt response and note that you have not yet responded to Ms. Fink's e-mail on this topic from yesterday.

Regards,

Denise

---

This email and any attachments contain information from the law firm of Howrey LLP, which may be confidential. The information is intended to be for the use of the individual or entity named on this email. If you are not the intended recipient, be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of the contents of this email is strictly prohibited. If you receive this email in error, please notify us by reply email immediately so that we can arrange for its destruction.

6/5/2006

-----  
This e-mail message and any attached files are confidential and are intended solely for the use of the addressee(s) named above. This communication may contain material protected by attorney-client, work product, or other privileges. If you are not the intended recipient or person responsible for delivering this confidential communication to the intended recipient, you have received this communication in error, and any review, use, dissemination, forwarding, printing, copying, or other distribution of this e-mail message and any attached files is strictly prohibited. Dickstein Shapiro reserves the right to monitor any communication that is created, received, or sent on its network. If you have received this confidential communication in error, please notify the sender immediately by reply e-mail message and permanently delete the original message.

To reply to our email administrator directly, send an email to [postmaster@dsmo.com](mailto:postmaster@dsmo.com)

Dickstein Shapiro Morin & Oshinsky LLP  
<http://www.DicksteinShapiro.com>

=====



# EXHIBIT

# 3

Exhibit 3 to De  
Mory  
Declaration  
Filed Under  
Seal Pursuant to  
Protective Order

# EXHIBIT

# 4

Exhibit 4 to De  
Mory  
Declaration  
Filed Under  
Seal Pursuant to  
Protective Order

# EXHIBIT

# 5

Exhibit 5 to De  
Mory  
Declaration  
Filed Under  
Seal Pursuant to  
Protective Order

# EXHIBIT

# 6

Exhibit 6 to De  
Mory  
Declaration  
Filed Under  
Seal Pursuant to  
Protective Order